IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.101 OF 2007

Rajesh Chunilal Meghani & ors. Petitioner
vs.
The State of Maharashtra & anr. Respondents

Mr.H.S.Venegaonkar for the petitioners.

Ms.Jayashri Manjrekar for respondent 1.

Mr.M.S.Mhambre, APP for State.

CORAM : SMT.RANJANA DESAI &
ANOOP V. MOHTA,JJ.

DATED : 21st February, 2007

P.C.

The petitioners are accused in C.R.No.290/2006 registered with M.R.A. Marg Police Station. Respondent 2 is the complainant. In this petition, the petitioners have prayed that C.R.No.290/2006 lodged under sections 420, 406, 380 and 34 of I.P.C. be quashed.

2. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners, the learned APP and the learned counsel for respondent 2. The learned counsel for the petitioners and learned counsel for respondent 2 state that the parties have amicably settled the dispute involved in C.R.No.290/2006 registered with M.R.A. Marg Police

Station, Mumbai. Consent terms duly signed by the petitioners and respondent 2 and their respective advocates are taken on record and marked "X". In these consent terms, the petitioners and respondent 2 confirm that the dispute is settled. Respondent 2 has stated that she has no objection to the petition being allowed and C.R.No.290/2006 being quashed without in any manner admitting or accepting the allegations, averments and contentions in the petition.

3. In view of the fact that the parties have settled the dispute and in the light of the judgement of the Supreme Court in **B.S.Joshi & ors. vs. State of Haryana & Anr. (2003) 4 SCC 675,** we quash the FIR being C.R.No.290/2006 registered with MRA Marg Police Station lodged under sections 420, 406, 380 and 34 of I.P.C. at the instance of respondent 2.

4. Petition is disposed of in the above terms.

[SMT.RANJANA DESAI,J.]

[ANOOP V. MOHTA,J.]